## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-21 are pending in the above application. Claims 1-18 have been allowed, claims 20 and 21 have been indicated as allowable, and claim 19 has been rejected in the most recent Office Action. Claim 19 has been cancelled hereinabove, and claim 20 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claims 19. Applicants respectfully traverse each ground of rejection and request reconsideration and further examination of the application.

Applicants respond to each ground of rejection and objection as follows:

## A. Claims 1-18 have been allowed.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for her allowance of claims 1-18.

B. Claim 19 was rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,997,752 to Leu et al.

Claim 19 has been cancelled hereinabove. Therefore, the rejection of claim 19 is moot.

C. Claims 20 and 21 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

The Section 112 grounds of rejection have been addressed and overcome by the present amendments, as follows:

Claim 20 has been re-written in independent form to include the subject matter of cancelled independent claim 19 and, while doing so, the introduction of the enclosures is reworded and introduced as a step rather than as a bare structural element. It is respectfully submitted that the 35 U.S.C. 112 grounds of rejection have been overcome.

## **CONCLUSION**

Reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing arguments is respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully submit that the above represents a complete response to the Office Action of June 8, 2005, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted

C. John Brannon

Reg. No. 44,557

BYNGHAM MCHALE LLP

2700 Market Tower, 10 West Market Street

Indianapolis, IN 46204-4900

(317) 968-5361

12055-37418:956962